

WALLACH SETS AND SQUARED BESSEL PARTICLE SYSTEMS

PIOTR GRACZYK, JACEK MAŁECKI

ABSTRACT. We determine the classical and the non-central Wallach sets W_0 and W by classical probabilistic methods. We prove the Mayerhofer conjecture on W . We exploit the fact that $(x_0, \beta) \in W$ if and only if x_0 is the starting point and 2β is the drift of a squared Bessel matrix process X_t on the cone $Sym^+(\mathbf{R}, p)$. Our methods are based on the study of SDEs for the symmetric polynomials of X_t and for the eigenvalues of X_t , i.e. the squared Bessel particle systems.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The aim of this paper is to prove the characterization of the non-central Wallach set W , conjectured in [11] by Mayerhofer. More precisely, let us denote by $\mathcal{S}_p = Sym(\mathbf{R}, p)$ the space of symmetric $p \times p$ matrices and let $\mathcal{S}_p^+$ be the open cone of positive definite matrices. The (central) Wallach set W_0 is defined as the set of admissible $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ such that there exists a random matrix X with values in $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$ (equivalently a measure with support in $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$) such that its Laplace transform is of the form

$$\mathbf{E}e^{-\mathbf{Tr}(uX)} = (\det(I + 2\Sigma u))^{-\beta}, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}_p^+,$$

where $\Sigma \in \mathcal{S}_p^+$. It is well-known (see [2], pp. 137, 349) that

$$W_0 = \frac{1}{2}B \cup \left[\frac{p-1}{2}, \infty \right),$$

where $B = \{0, 1, \dots, p-2\}$. However, a similar question can be stated in a more general setting. Let $x_0 \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$. We say that the pair (x_0, β) belongs to the non-central Wallach set W if there exists a random matrix X with values in $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$ having the Laplace transform

$$\mathbf{E}e^{-\mathbf{Tr}(uX)} = (\det(I + 2\Sigma u))^{-\beta} \exp[-\mathbf{Tr}(x_0(I + 2\Sigma u)^{-1}u)], \quad u \in \mathcal{S}_p^+, \quad (1.1)$$

for a matrix $\Sigma \in \mathcal{S}_p^+$. The interest in random matrices verifying (1.1) comes from the fact that if

$$X = \xi_1 \xi_1^T + \dots + \xi_n \xi_n^T = q(\xi), \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p),$$

where $\xi_i \sim N_p(m_i, \Sigma)$ are independent normal vectors in $\mathbf{R}^p$, then the Laplace transform of X is given by (1.1) with $\beta = n/2$ and $x_0 = q(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ (see [10]). Consequently, random matrices X verifying (1.1) are of great importance in statistics as estimators of the normal covariance matrix Σ . Obviously $(0, \beta) \in W$ if and only if $\beta \in W_0$. Note also that whenever $(x_0, \beta) \in W$ then we have $\beta \geq 0$, otherwise $\mathbf{E}e^{-\mathbf{Tr}(uX)}$ would be unbounded (take for example $u = nI$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \rightarrow \infty$).

The characterization of the non-central set Wallach set W has been recently studied by Letac and Massam in [8]. However, [8] contains an error in the formulation of the result and in its proof, which was pointed out by Mayerhofer[11]. Mayerhofer stated in [11] the following conjecture

Mayerhofer Conjecture. *The non-central Wallach set is characterized by*

$$(x_0, \beta) \in W \Leftrightarrow (\beta \in \left[\frac{p-1}{2}, \infty \right), x_0 \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+) \text{ or } (2\beta \in B, rk(x_0) \leq 2\beta).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60J60, 60H10, 60B11.

Key words and phrases. Wallach set, particle systems, Squared Bessel process, stochastic differential equations. Jacek Małeckı was supported by the National Science Centre (Poland) grant no. 2013/11/D/ST1/02622.

Sufficiency of these conditions was showed by Bru in [1], except the case $2\beta = p - 1$, that may be found in [8] and [4]. In what concerns the necessity, Mayerhofer proved in [11] that if $(x_0, \beta) \in W$ and $2\beta \in B$, then $rk(x_0) \leq 2\beta + 1$. In this note we provide a simple proof of the Mayerhofer conjecture based on Itô stochastic calculus. Completely different approach based on analytical methods was proposed in the unpublished note [9].

Denoting more precisely the set of (x_0, β) with the property (1.1) by W_Σ , it is easy to show ([10], Prop.III.5.1) that $(x_0, \beta) \in W_\Sigma$ if and only if $(\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_0\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \beta) \in W_I$. Thus the conditions of Mayerhofer's conjecture are the same for any $\Sigma \in \mathcal{S}_p^+$ and, in the sequel, we will only consider the case $\Sigma = I$.

Our main tools are the results of the article [5], that we adapt to the set-up of BESQ matrix SDEs (2.1) and their eigenvalue processes, i.e. BESQ particle systems. These new results on BESQ particle systems are interesting independently and are an income to the study of these processes started in [6].

2. WALLACH SETS AND STOCHASTIC ANALYSIS

We begin this section by recalling (following the exposure given in [10], [11]) the relations between Wallach sets and matrix squared Bessel processes. Consequently, we translate the Mayerhofer conjecture to the question of the existence of solutions in $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$ of the appropriate matrix SDE (depending on β) starting from x_0 . Then, using symmetric polynomials method and comparison theorem we show that such solutions exist only if (x_0, β) fulfills the conditions stated by Mayerhofer.

2.1. Wallach sets and stochastic processes. Let W_t be a Brownian matrix of dimension $p \times p$. We call *matrix BESQ process* any solution of the following SDE

$$dX_t = \sqrt{|X_t|}dW_t + dW_t^T \sqrt{|X_t|} + \alpha Idt, \quad X_t \in \mathcal{S}_p, t \geq 0; \quad X_0 = x_0. \quad (2.1)$$

Recall that if $g : \mathbf{R} \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ then $g(X)$ is defined spectrally, i.e. $g(U \text{diag}(\lambda_i)U^T) = U \text{diag}(g(\lambda_i))U^T$, where $U \in SO(p)$. When $X_0 = x_0 \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$, such processes were studied by Bru in [1], who among others showed that if (2.1) admits a solution $X_t \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$ with $X_0 = x_0 \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$, then the Laplace transform of X_t is

$$\mathbf{E}^{x_0}[\exp(-\text{Tr}(uX_t))] = (\det(I + 2tu))^{-\alpha/2} \exp[-\text{Tr}(x_0(I + 2tu)^{-1}u)], \quad u \in \mathcal{S}_p^+. \quad (2.2)$$

In particular, by taking $t = 1$, it means that $(x_0, \frac{\alpha}{2}) \in W$. Mayerhofer showed in [10] and [11] that in fact these properties are equivalent.

Proposition 1 (Mayerhofer). *The stochastic differential equation (2.1) with $x_0 \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$ has a solution in $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$ if and only if $(x_0, \frac{\alpha}{2}) \in W$.*

2.2. Symmetric polynomials of solutions of matrix SDEs. If X is a symmetric $p \times p$ matrix, we define the polynomials $e_n(X)$ as basic symmetric polynomials

$$e_n(X) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \lambda_{i_1}(X) \lambda_{i_2}(X) \dots \lambda_{i_n}(X), \quad n = 1, \dots, p;$$

in the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(X) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_p(X)$ of X . Moreover, we use the convention that $e_0(X) \equiv 1$. Up to the sign change, the polynomials e_n are the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of X , i.e.

$$\det(X - uI) = (-1)^p u^p + (-1)^{p-1} e_1(X) u^{p-1} + \dots - e_{p-1}(X) u + e_p(X)$$

and are polynomial functions of the entries of the matrix X . In particular, $e_p(X) = \det X$. In [5], the symmetric polynomials related to general class of non-colliding particle systems were studied in details. Using the results therein we get the following characterization of the symmetric polynomials related to matrix squared Bessel processes.

Proposition 2. Let X_t be a solution of the matrix SDE (2.1) and $X_t \in \mathcal{S}_p^+, t \geq 0$. Then the symmetric polynomials $e_n(t) := e_n(X_t)$, $n = 1, \dots, p$ are semimartingales satisfying the following system of SDEs

$$de_n = M_n(e_1, \dots, e_p) dV_n + (p - n + 1)(\alpha - n + 1)e_{n-1}dt, \quad n = 1, \dots, p-1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$de_p = 2\sqrt{e_{p-1}e_p}dV_p + (\alpha - p + 1)e_{p-1}dt, \quad (2.4)$$

where V_i , $i = 1, \dots, p$ are one-dimensional Brownian motions and the functions M_n are continuous on $\mathbf{R}^p$.

Proof. Note that the explicit forms of the martingale parts $M_n(e_1, \dots, e_p)dV_n$ as well as their brackets $d\langle e_n, e_m \rangle$ are known for every $n, m = 1, \dots, p$ (see Proposition 3.2 in [5]). However, they will not be used in the sequel apart from the case $n = p$ stated explicitly in Proposition 2 above.

The symmetric polynomials $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ are given by an analytic function (polynomials of the coefficients) of the matrix X . Thus Itô formula, applied to the SDE for the matrix process X_t , gives a system of the SDEs for $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$. We determine these SDEs like in Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 in [5], using Theorem 3 from [4] in the case when eigenvalues of x_0 are all distinct. Evidently, by Itô formula, this form of the SDEs system describing $(e_1, \dots, e_p)$ does not depend on the starting point x_0 , i.e. it does not change if we remove the condition that eigenvalues of the initial points are all different. We write $e_n^{\bar{i}}$ for the incomplete polynomial of order n , not containing the variable $\lambda_i(e)$; the notation $e_n^{\bar{i}, \bar{j}}$ is analogous. Using formulas from Proposition 3.2 in [5] we find that

$$M_n = 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^p |\lambda_i| (e_{n-1}^{\bar{i}})^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and, in particular, when $X_t \in \mathcal{S}_p^+$, $t \geq 0$, (i.e. the eigenvalues are non-negative), we obtain $M_p = 2\sqrt{e_{p-1}e_p}$. Moreover, we have the following expressions for the drift parts of de_n :

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha e_{n-1}^{\bar{i}} - \sum_{i < j} (|\lambda_i| + |\lambda_j|) e_{n-2}^{\bar{i}, \bar{j}} = (p - n + 1)(\alpha - n + 1)e_{n-1},$$

where we removed the absolute values since we assumed that all the eigenvalues are non-negative. This ends the proof. $\square$

The fact that matrix BESQ X_t leaves $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$ is controlled by e_p , which is the determinant of X_t , i.e. if $e_p(t)$ is negative then X_t cannot be in $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$. The explicit formulas for the SDEs describing the symmetric polynomials $e_1, \dots, e_p$ can be used to show that the e_p becomes negative when $e_p(0) = 0$ and $\alpha = 2\beta$ is small enough. This is presented in the following proposition.

Proposition 3. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$.

- (i) Suppose $0 < \alpha < p - 1$, $\alpha \notin B$ and $rk(x_0) < p$. Then $(x_0, \frac{\alpha}{2}) \notin W$. In particular, the classical Wallach set $W_0 = \frac{1}{2}B \cup [\frac{p-1}{2}, \infty)$.
- (ii) If $\alpha \in B$, $rk(x_0) < p$ and $(x_0, \frac{\alpha}{2}) \in W$, then $rk(x_0) \leq \alpha$.

Proof. To deal with (i) suppose that $(x_0, \frac{\alpha}{2}) \in W$, so there exists a solution X_t of (2.1) such that $X_t \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_p^+$ for every $t \geq 0$. The condition $rk(x_0) < p$ is equivalent to $\lambda_1(0) = 0$ as well as $e_p(0) = 0$. Formula (2.4) shows that $e_p(X_t)$ is a BESQ $^{\alpha-p+1}(0)$ in $\mathbf{R}$ (the superscript of a BESQ denotes its dimension), starting from 0 with a time change by $A_t = \int_0^t e_{p-1}(s)ds$. As it was shown in [3], the squared Bessel process with negative dimension starting from 0 is just -BESQ $^{|\alpha-p+1|}(0)$ and consequently it becomes strictly negative just after the start. Thus, if the time change $A_t > 0$ (with positive probability) then $\mathbf{P}(e_p(X_t) < 0) = 1$ for every $t > 0$. Thus $A_t \equiv 0$ and consequently the process $e_{p-1}(t)$ is always zero. Looking at the SDE (2.3) for e_{p-1} we deduce from $e_{p-1}(t) \equiv 0$ that the drift term must vanish, which means that $e_{p-2}(t) \equiv 0$. Note that we use here the fact that $\alpha \notin B$ implies that the factors $\alpha - n + 1$ in the drift term

are non-zero. Consequently, by induction, we arrive at $e_1 \equiv 0$, which is impossible because the drift term of the process e_1 is $pdt \neq 0$. The classical Wallach set corresponds to $x_0 = 0$. This proves (i).

The proof of (ii) is the same, however the condition $\alpha \in B$ implies that the factors $\alpha - n + 1$ in the drift term are non-zero until $n = \alpha + 1$. By induction, we get $e_{\alpha+1}(0) = 0$, which is equivalent to $rk(x_0) \leq \alpha$. $\square$

Observe that the method of polynomials does not apply to the case $rk(x_0) = p$. We will show below that in this case, the eigenvalue process $\lambda_1(t)$ of any matrix solution of (2.1) becomes negative.

2.3. Squared Bessel particle systems. We call *squared Bessel particle system* the eigenvalue process $\lambda_1(t) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_p(t)$ of a matrix solution of the SDE (2.1). The following corollary of the results of [5] is needed in the proof of the characterization of W .

Proposition 4. *For $\alpha \in B$ and any $x_0 \in \mathcal{S}_p^+$ (i.e. $rk(x_0) = p$) the eigenvalue process $\lambda_1(t) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_p(t)$ is a strong and pathwise unique solution of the following SDE system*

$$d\lambda_i = 2\sqrt{|\lambda_i|}dB_i + \left(\alpha + \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{|\lambda_i| + |\lambda_k|}{\lambda_i - \lambda_k} \right) dt, \quad i = 1, \dots, p \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, the eigenvalues $\lambda_i(t)$ never collide if $t > 0$.

Proof. We use a natural bijection between the polynomials $e = (e_1, \dots, e_p)$ and the eigenvalues $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$ belonging to the closed Weyl chamber $\bar{C}_+ = \{(x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbf{R}^p : x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_p\}$, see [5].

The first part of the proof of Prop. 4.3 in [5] together with the proof of Th. 4.4 in [5] imply that any solution of the system (2.3) and (2.4) becomes non-colliding for every $t > 0$, i.e. $\lambda_i(e(t))$ are all different. Then we apply Remark 5.2[5], which allows to construct a non-colliding solution to the system (2.5). By Th. 5.3 in [5] we get pathwise uniqueness for the solutions of (2.5). From the other side, it was shown in Theorem 3 in [4] that whenever the eigenvalues are initially different then (2.5) holds. To deal with starting from collision points we first write SDEs for symmetric polynomials (what can be done in every case). As it was done in [5], using Itô formula argument (the form of the SDEs does not depend on the starting point), we claim that the eigenvalues are solutions to (2.5). $\square$

Proposition 5. *Suppose $\alpha < p - 1$ and let $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$ be a non-colliding solution of the system (2.5) with $\lambda_1(0) \geq 0$. Then $\mathbf{P}(\lambda_1(t) < 0) > 0$, for every $t > 0$.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$ be a non-colliding solution to (2.5) and let $\tilde{\lambda}_1$ be a solution to the SDE given by

$$d\tilde{\lambda}_1 = 2\sqrt{|\tilde{\lambda}_1|}dB_1 + (\alpha - (p - 1))dt.$$

starting from $\tilde{\lambda}_1(0) = \lambda_1(0) \geq 0$. Note that B_1 is the same Brownian motion that appears in the SDE for λ_1 . Now, we apply the techniques of local times proposed by Le Gall in [7], described also in [12]. More precisely, by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 in [12], the local time $L^0(\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_1)$ is zero. Using Tanaka's formula (see proof of Thm 3.7 in [12]) we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}(\lambda_1(t) - \tilde{\lambda}_1(t))^+ = \mathbf{E} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda_1(s) > \tilde{\lambda}_1(s)\}} \left(p - 1 + \sum_{k=2}^p \frac{|\lambda_1(s)| + |\lambda_k(s)|}{\lambda_1(s) - \lambda_k(s)} \right) ds \leq 0.$$

The last inequality follows from the estimate $|x| + |y| \geq x - y$ valid for every $y < x$. It implies that $\mathbf{P}(\lambda_1(t) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_1(t) \text{ for every } t \geq 0) = 1$. Since the process $\tilde{\lambda}_1$ is a squared Bessel motion with dimension $\alpha - (p - 1) < 0$, it crosses zero a.s. and next it remains in the negative half-line, see [3]. This ends the proof. $\square$

Proposition 6. *Suppose that $0 < \alpha < p - 1$ and $rk(x_0) = p$. Then $(x_0, \alpha/2) \notin W$.*

Proof. Consider a solution of (2.1). The corresponding eigenvalue process $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$ is a strong and pathwise unique solution of (2.5). If $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^+ \setminus B$, it is justified by Cor.6.6[5] (note a misprint in the formulation of Cor.6.6[5]: it should be $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^+ \setminus B$). In the case $\alpha \in B$, $rk(x_0) = p$, it follows from Proposition 4. Finally, by Proposition 5, the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(t)$ becomes strictly negative, so $(x_0, \alpha/2) \notin W$. □

By Propositions 3 and 6 we claim that

Theorem 1. *The Mayerhofer Conjecture is true.*

As a consequence, we obtain the following

Corollary 1. *Let $x_0 \in \bar{S}_p^+$. The SDE (2.1) has a solution $X_t \in \bar{S}_p^+$ if and only if*

$$(\alpha \in [p - 1, \infty), x_0 \in \bar{S}_p^+) \text{ or } (\alpha \in B, rk(x_0) \leq \alpha).$$

Remark. In Proposition 3(i) we gave a stochastic proof of the characterization of the classical "central" Wallach set. Observe that one more stochastic proof of the central Wallach set may be given by comparison methods. Indeed, it follows from Proposition 5 that, if $\alpha < p - 1$, $\alpha \notin B$ and $x_0 = 0$, then the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(t)$ becomes strictly negative, so $\alpha/2 \notin W_0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Bru, *Wishart processes*. J. Theor. Prob. 4 (1991), 725–751.
- [2] J. Faraut, A. Korányi, *Analysis on symmetric cones*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1994.
- [3] A. Göing-Jaeschke, M. Yor, *A survey and some generalizations of Bessel processes*. Bernoulli 9 (2003), no. 2, 313–349.
- [4] P. Graczyk, J. Małeck, *Multidimensional Yamada-Watanabe theorem and its applications to particle systems*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 54 (2013), pp. 021503–021503–15.
- [5] P. Graczyk, J. Małeck, *Strong solutions of non-colliding particle systems*, Electron. J. Probab., 19(2014), no. 119, 1–21.
- [6] M. Katori and H. Tanemura, *Noncolliding Squared Bessel processes*, J. Stat. Phys.(2011) **142**, 592–615.
- [7] J.F. Le Gall, *Applications du temps local aux équations différentielles stochastiques unidimensionnelles*. Séminaire de Probabilités de Strasbourg, 17 (1983), 15–31.
- [8] G. Letac, H. Massam, *The noncentral Wishart as an exponential family, and its moments*. J. Multivariate Anal. 99(2008), no. 7, 1393–1417.
- [9] G. Letac, H. Massam, *Existence and non-existence of the non-central Wishart distributions*, arXiv:1108.2849.
- [10] E. Mayerhofer, *Stochastic Analysis Methods in Wishart Theory II*, in: Modern Methods of Multivariate Statistics, P. Graczyk, A. Hassairi Eds., Travaux en Cours 82, Hermann, Paris, 2014.
- [11] E. Mayerhofer, *On the existence of non-central Wishart distributions*, Journal of Multivariate Analysis 114(2013), p. 448–456.
- [12] D. Revuz and M. Yor. *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. Springer, New York, 1999.

PIOTR GRACZYK, LAREMA, UNIVERSITÉ D'ANGERS, 2 BD LAVOISIER, 49045 ANGERS CEDEX 1, FRANCE
E-mail address: piotr.graczyk@univ-angers.fr

JACEK MAŁECKI, FACULTY OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WROCLAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, UL. WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27, 50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND
E-mail address: jacek.malecki@pwr.wroc.pl